

Hon. Richard A. Jones

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

JASON DESIMAS,

Defendant.

No. 2:20-cr-00222-RAJ


ORDER DIRECTING REPLY  
FROM GOVERNMENT

THIS MATTER, having come to the Court's attention on the Government's Motion for Entry of a Discovery Protective Order, and the Court, having considered the Motion, the defense's Response in Opposition to the Motion, and being fully advised in this matter, hereby directs the government to file a reply to the defendant's response addressing the posted objections.

Specifically, the government's reply should include:

1. What explanation or justification can the government produce as to why all information relating to *witnesses* should be treated as presumptively protected material, and include an explanation why disclosure would work a clearly defined and serious injury to every category of witnesses.

2. Explain how or why the disclosure of the substance of a witness's statement would put a witness at risk. Include in this reply why redaction would not be an alternative means to protect the information sought to be protected.

  
The Honorable Richard A. Jones  
United States District Judge